

Appln. No. 10/644,563
Reply dated June 27, 2005
Response to Office Action dated May 27, 2005

REMARKS

These remarks are in response to the Office Action, dated May 27, 2005. At the time of the Office Action, claims 1-20 were pending in the present application.

The Office Action indicated that claims 1-29 were subject to a restriction requirement between Group I including claims 1-24 and Group II including claims 25-29. However, as noted above, the present application was filed with only 20 claims. This discrepancy was brought to the attention of Examiner Kim and a telephone conference was held with the Examiner on June 27, 2005. The undersigned expresses thanks for the telephone conference, which was helpful in resolving the discrepancy. These remarks summarize the discussion.

The Examiner noted that, upon review, the restriction requirement was issued in error and that the restriction requirement will be withdrawn. A notice to that effect is respectfully requested. The Examiner also noted that no further action is required from the applicant at this time. Accordingly, no further action shall be taken on this application unless and until a new office action is issued.

Date: 6/27/2005

Respectfully submitted,



Joseph W. Bain, USPTO Reg. No. 34,290
Mark M. Zylka, USPTO Reg. No. 48,518
AKERMAN SENTERFITT
P.O. Box 3188
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188
Telephone: (561) 653-5000
Facsimile: (561) 659-6313